PATENT IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Donald F. Gordon et al. Examiner: SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D.

Serial No.: 09/585,263 Group Art Unit: 2421

Filed: June 2, 2000 Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

Title: CHANNEL INFORMATION WINDOW VIA SERVER-CENTRIC

INTERACTIVE USER INTERFACE

APPEAL BRIEF

MAIL STOP APPEAL Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is an Appeal Brief submitted pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 41.37 for the above-referenced patent application. The fee of \$540.00 for this brief in support of appeal as indicated in 37 C.F.R. § 41.20(b)(2) was paid at the time of filing of the original Appeal Brief.

I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is Cox Communications, Inc., 1400 Lake Hearn Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30319 per the assignment recorded on October 12, 2004 at Reel 021817 frame 0486.

II. Related Appeals and Interferences

Appellants are unaware of any related appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings.

III. Status of Claims

Claims 1, 5, 7-10, 13 and 14 were rejected. Claim 4 has been canceled and claims 14-22 and 24 were withdrawn from further consideration. Claims 1-3, 5-13 and 23 are presented for appeal and may be found in the attached Appendix of Appealed Claims in their present form.

IV. Status of Amendments

On August 24, 2004, a Non-Final Rejection was mailed. On November 24, 2004, an Amendment After Non-Final Rejection was filed. On April 7, 2005, a Final Rejection was mailed. On June 7, 2005, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and Response was filed. On July 1, 2005, a Non-Final Rejection was mailed. On August 31, 2005, an Amendment After Non-Final Rejection was filed. On November 10, 2005, a Final Rejection was mailed. On February 1, 2006, an Amendment After Final was filed. On February 1, 2006, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and Response was filed. On March 7, 2006, a Non-Final Rejection was mailed. On August 3, 2006, an Amendment After Non-Final Rejection was filed. On September 21, 2006, a Final Rejection was mailed. On November 15, 2006, an Amendment After Final was filed. On December 6, 2006, an Advisory Action was mailed. On December 21, 2006, a Notice of Appeal was filed. On February 20, 2007, an Appeal Brief was filed. On June 11, 2007, a Examiner's Answer to the Appeal Brief was mailed. On August 9, 2007, a Reply Brief Filed was filed. On September 9, 2008, a BPAI decision reversing the Examiner was mailed. On January 12, 2009, a Non-Final Rejection was mailed. On February 13, 2009, an Amendment After Non-Final

Rejection was filed. On May 19, 2009, a Final Rejection was mailed. On July 20, 2009, an

Amendment After Final was filed. On July 24, 2009, an Advisory Action was mailed. On

October 9, 2009, a Notice of Appeal was filed.

V. **Summary of Claimed Subject Matter**

The claims set forth a channel information window via server-centric interactive user

interface for providing targeted advertisements and multimedia contents in a server-centric system

Independent claim 1

Independent claim 1 sets forth a method that includes generating, at a headend (102),

at least one bitmap for a channel information window (1704) (page 32, lines 4-6; FIG. 17),

encoding, at the headend (102), a broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel

information window (1704), the broadcast video presentation (1702) being programming

from one of a plurality of channels (page 32, lines 9-12; FIG. 1), transmitting, from the

headend (102) to a set top terminal (106), the broadcast video presentation (1702) and the

bitmap for the channel information window wherein elements on a display screen can be

selectively masked and displayed (1704) (page 32, lines 9-12; FIG. 1), receiving, at the set

top terminal, a signal to activate the channel information window(1704) (page 32, lines 25-

27), decoding, at the set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the

channel information window and compositing, at the set top terminal (106), the bitmap for

the channel information window (1704) and the broadcast video presentation (1702) to

produce a video stream for a display so that the channel information window (1704) overlays

and obscures at least a portion of the broadcast video presentation (1702) on the display (page 32, lines 28-29), wherein transmitting the bitmap for the channel information window (1704) is performed via an out-of-band channel (Fig. 2, page 32, lines 10-11; see also bitmap data can be loaded to STT via OOB, page 30, lines 22-23).

Independent claim 5

Independent claim 5 sets forth a method that includes generating, at a headend (102), a plurality of bitmaps for each of a plurality of channel information windows (1704) (page 32, lines 4-6; FIG. 17), encoding, at the headend (102), a plurality of broadcast video displays and the channel information windows (1704), the broadcast video displays including a particular broadcast video display (1702), each broadcast video display (1702) being programming from one of a plurality of channels, the channel information windows (1704) including information about the channels (page 32, lines 9-12; FIG. 1), transmitting, from the headend (102) to the set top terminal (106), the broadcast video displays (1702) and the channel information windows (1704) (page 32, lines 9-12; FIG. 1), wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively masked and displayed (Figs. 6A-6C, page 18, lines 9-24), decoding, at the set top terminal (106), the broadcast video displays (1702) and the channel information windows (1704) (page 32, lines 14-16), compositing, at the set top terminal (106), the particular broadcast video display (1702) and an associated one of the channel information windows (1704) to produce a video stream for a display so that the channel information window (1704) overlays and obscures at least a portion of the particular broadcast video display (1702) (page 32, lines 28-29) and changing, at the set top terminal

(106), the channel information window (1704) in response to a navigation command, while the particular broadcast video display (1702) remains the same (page 35, lines 1-3).

Independent claim 9

Independent claim 9 sets forth a method that includes generating, at a headend (102),

a broadcast video presentation (1702) and at least one bitmap for a channel information

window (1704), the broadcast video presentation (1702) being programming from one of a

plurality of channels (page 32, lines 4-6; FIG. 17), encoding, at the headend (102), the

broadcast video presentation (1702) and the bitmap for the channel information window

(page 32, lines 9-12; FIG. 1), transmitting, from the headend (102) to a terminal (106), the

broadcast video presentation (1702) and the channel information window (page 32, lines 9-

12; FIG. 1), wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively masked and displayed

(Figs. 6A-6C, page 18, lines 9-24) and sending, from the terminal (106) to the headend (102),

a signal to activate the channel information window (Fig. 1, page 32, lines 30-32) and

wherein the bitmap for the channel information window is overlaid over the broadcast video

presentation (1702) so that the channel information window obscures at least a portion of the

broadcast video presentation (1702) (page 32, lines 28-29).

Independent claim 10

Independent claim 10 sets forth a method that includes receiving, at a terminal from a

headend, a broadcast video presentation, the broadcast video presentation being programming

from one of a plurality of channels, sending, to the headend (102) from the terminal (106), a

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

(1702) in the video stream (page 32, lines 28-29).

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

signal to activate a channel information window (1704) (Fig. 1, page 32, lines 30-32), receiving, at the terminal (106) from the headend terminal (102), a bitmap for the channel information window, decoding, at the terminal (106), the broadcast video presentation (1702) and the channel information window (1704) (page 32, lines 14-1 6), wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively masked and displayed; and compositing, at the terminal (106), the bitmap for the channel information window (1704) with the broadcast video presentation (1702) to produce a video stream for display so that the channel information window (1704) overlays and obscures at least a portion of the broadcast video presentation

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

VI. Grounds of Rejection

Appellant has attempted to comply with new rule 37 C.F.R. § 41.37(c) by providing the Office Action's grounds of rejection verbatim, followed by an argument section corresponding thereto.

- A. In paragraph 3 on page 5 of the Office Action, claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(b) as being unpatentable over Hendricks in view of Gordon.
- B. In paragraph 4 on page 7 of the Office Action, claims 5 and 8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(b) as being unpatentable over Hendricks in view of Gordon and Miller.
- C. In paragraph 5 on page 10 of the Office Action, claim 7 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(b) as being unpatentable over Hendricks, Gordon, and Miller, and further in view of Hoarty.
- D. In paragraph 6 on page 11 of the Office Action, claims 9 and 10 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(b) as being unpatentable over Hendricks, Gordon, and Bolanos.
- E. In paragraph 7 on page 14 of the Office Action, claim 13 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(b) as being unpatentable over Hendricks, Gordon, and Bolanos.
- F. In paragraph 8 on page 15 of the Office Action, claim 14 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(b) as being unpatentable over Hendricks, Gordon, and MacInnis.

VII. Argument

A. CLAIM 1 IS PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER HENDRICKS AND GORDON

Independent claim 1 sets forth generating, at a headend, at least one bitmap for a channel information window, encoding, at the headend, a broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window, the broadcast video presentation being programming from one of a plurality of channels, transmitting, from the headend to a set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively masked and displayed, receiving, at the set top terminal, a signal to activate the channel information window, decoding, at the set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window and compositing, at the set top terminal, the bitmap for the channel information window and the broadcast video presentation to produce a video stream for a display so that the channel information window overlays and obscures at least a portion of the broadcast video presentation on the display wherein transmitting the bitmap for the channel information window is performed via an out-of-band channel. Independent claims 5, 9 and 10 recite similar elements.

Accordingly, the headend transmits a broadcast video presentation and bitmap for the channel information window, wherein the set top terminal decodes the information therein to produce video stream for a display.

In contrast, Hendricks discloses generating a program control information signal that provides the network controller 214 with data on the scheduling and description of programs. The network controller 214 sends the data to the set top terminal 220 in the form of a set top terminal control information stream (STTCIS). The set top terminal 220 integrates either the

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

program control information signal or the STTCIS with data stored in the memory of the set top

terminal 220 to generate on-screen menus that assist the subscriber in choosing programs for

display.

Moreover, according to Hendricks, a minimal amount of information is communicated to

the set top terminal 220 on a regular basis. The set top terminal 220 determines the proper menu

location for each program and the proper time and channel to activate for the subscriber after a

menu selection.

Further, Hendricks states that the menu format for creating the menus can be fixed in

ROM at the set top terminal 220. New menu format information may be sent via the program

control information signal or the STTCIS to the set top terminals 200 whenever a change to a

menu format is desired.

Hendricks further states that the menus may be generated from menu templates stored in

each set top terminal. Still further, Hendricks states that the set top terminal 220 generates the

menus that are displayed on the television by creating arrays of particular menu templates.

Thus, Hendricks fails to even mention decoding, at the set top terminal, the bitmap for

compositing with the broadcast video presentation to produce a video stream for a display.

In addition, Hendricks fails to disclose, teach or suggest encoding, at the headend, a

broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window, the broadcast

video presentation being programming from one of a plurality of channels and transmitting, from

the headend to a set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel

information window. Instead, Hendricks merely provides the data to the set top terminals. While

the data for the schedules and for the menus are transmitted in a properly formatted signal to the

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

set top terminals, the set top terminals must process the received data and generate the bitmap for

the channel information window.

Hendricks also fails to suggest "decoding", at the set top terminal, the bitmap for the

channel information window and compositing, at the set top terminal, the bitmap for the channel

information window so that the channel information window overlays and obscures at least a

portion of the broadcast video presentation on the display. Rather, as discussed above, the set top

terminal does not decode the bitmap for the channel information window, but instead has to

generate the bitmap at the set top terminal.

Thus, Hendricks fails to disclose, teach or suggest the invention as defined in independent

claim 1.

Gordon fails to overcome the deficiencies of Hendricks. Gordon is merely cited as

disclosing a system wherein downloaded graphics used in displaying overlays atop of video

content are downloaded as bitmaps and elements on a display screen can be selectively masked

and displayed. The Office Action states that Hendricks generates graphics at a headend and that

Gordon teaches that graphics may be a bitmap.

However, Hendricks teaches that only schedule data, description data and menu format

data is transmitted to the set top terminals. The set top terminal 220 may then combine the

different signals to form the desired display on the subscriber's television.

Therefore, at best, Hendricks and Gordon, when combined suggest that a set top terminal

may combine the different signals to form a bitmap that implemented in a display signal at the

subscriber.

Thus, Hendricks and Gordon, alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach or suggest the invention as defined in independent claim 1.

В. CLAIM 5 IS PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER HENDRICKS, GORDON AND MILLER

Independent claim 5 sets forth a method that includes generating, at a headend, a plurality of bitmaps for each of a plurality of channel information windows, encoding, at the headend, a plurality of broadcast video displays and the channel information windows, the broadcast video displays including a particular broadcast video display, each broadcast video display being programming from one of a plurality of channels, the channel information windows including information about the channels, transmitting, from the headend to the set top terminal, the broadcast video displays and the channel information windows wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively masked and displayed, decoding, at the set top terminal, the broadcast video displays and the channel information windows, compositing, at the set top terminal, the particular broadcast video display and an associated one of the channel information windows to produce a video stream for a display so that the channel information window overlays and obscures at least a portion of the particular broadcast video display; and changing, at the set top terminal, the channel information window in response to a navigation command, while the particular broadcast video display remains the same.

As described above with regard to claim 1, Hendricks fails to even mention decoding, at the set top terminal, the bitmap for compositing with the broadcast video presentation to produce a video stream for a display. Hendricks was also shown to fail to disclose, teach or suggest encoding, at the headend, a broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

information window, the broadcast video presentation being programming from one of a plurality of channels and transmitting, from the headend to a set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window. Instead, Hendricks merely provides the data to the set top terminals.

Still further, Hendricks was shown to fail to suggest "decoding", at the set top terminal, the bitmap for the channel information window and compositing, at the set top terminal, the bitmap for the channel information window so that the channel information window overlays and obscures at least a portion of the broadcast video presentation on the display. Rather, as discussed above, the set top terminal does not decode the bitmap for the channel information window, but instead has to generate the bitmap at the set top terminal.

`Gordon is merely cited as disclosing a system wherein downloaded graphics used in displaying overlays atop of video content are downloaded as bitmaps and elements on a display screen can be selectively masked and displayed. The Office Action states that Hendricks generates graphics at a headend and that Gordon teaches that graphics may be a bitmap.

However, Hendricks teaches that only schedule data, description data and menu format data is transmitted to the set top terminals. The set top terminal 220 may then combine the different signals to form the desired display on the subscriber's television.

Therefore, at best, Hendricks and Gordon, when combined suggest that a set top terminal may combine the different signals to form a bitmap that implemented in a display signal at the subscriber.

Thus, Hendricks and Gordon, alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach or suggest the invention as defined in independent claim 5.

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

Miller fails to overcome the deficiencies of Hendricks and Gordon. Miller is merely cited

as disclosing changing, at the set top terminal, the channel information window in response to a

navigation command.

However, Miller fails to address generating, at a headend, at least one bitmap for a

channel information window. Miller also fails to address encoding, at the headend, a broadcast

video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window and transmitting, from the

headend to a set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel

information window. Miller also fails to address decoding, at the set top terminal, the broadcast

video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window and compositing, at the set

top terminal, the bitmap for the channel information window and the broadcast video presentation

to produce a video stream for a display.

Thus, Hendricks, Gordon and Miller, alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach or

suggest the invention as defined in independent claim 5.

C. CLAIM 7 IS PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER

HENDRICKS, GORDON, MILLER AND HOARTY

Dependent claim 7 incorporates all of the elements set forth in claim 5. In addition, claim

7 sets forth that the method includes changing the particular broadcast video display to a new

broadcast video display, upon termination of the navigation command in that mode, wherein

changing the particular broadcast video display is accomplished by generating, encoding, and

transmitting video packet streams at the headend.

Dependent claim 7 is patentable over Hendricks, Gordon and Miller for the reasons

stated above. The Final Office Action cited Hoarty as teaching a video distribution system (Fig.

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

3) that changes a particular broadcast video display by generating, encoding, and transmitting

video packet streams at the head end, wherein a particular user is allocated a particular frequency

channel in order to access a very wide range of services, and a channel change command changes

the content supplied on the "virtual" channel, providing a wider range of services to users than

would otherwise be available.

However, Hoarty does not mention changing a display to a new broadcast video display

upon termination of the navigation command. Rather, Hoarty merely discloses that different

information is displayed on the established face of a carousel in response to operation of the

selection means. Hoarty does not mention termination of a navigation command.

Thus, Hendricks, Gordon, Miller and Hoarty, alone or in combination, fail to disclose,

teach or suggest the invention as defined in dependent claim 7.

D. CLAIMS 9 AND 10 ARE PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER HENDRICKS, GORDON AND BOLANOS

broadcast video presentation and at least one bitmap for a channel information window, the

Independent claim 9 sets forth a method that includes generating, at a headend, a

broadcast video presentation being programming from one of a plurality of channels, encoding, at

the headend, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the channel information

window, transmitting, from the headend to a terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the

channel information window wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively masked and

displayed; and sending, from the terminal to the headend, a signal to activate the channel

information window, wherein the bitmap for the channel information window is overlaid over the

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

broadcast video presentation so that the channel information window obscures at least a portion

of the broadcast video presentation.

Independent claim 10 is similar to claim 9, but sets forth a method that includes receiving,

at a terminal from a headend, a broadcast video presentation, the broadcast video presentation

being programming from one of a plurality of channels, sending, to the headend from the

terminal, a signal to activate a channel information window, receiving, at the terminal from the

headend, a bitmap for the channel information window, decoding, at the terminal, the broadcast

video presentation and the channel information window wherein elements on a display screen can

be selectively masked and displayed; and compositing, at the terminal, the bitmap for the channel

information window with the broadcast video presentation to produce a video stream for display

so that the channel information window overlays and obscures at least a portion of the broadcast

video presentation in the video stream.

As described above, Hendricks and Gordon, alone or in combination, fail to suggest a

broadcast video presentation and at least one bitmap for a channel information window encoded

at the headend and received at a terminal from the headend where the broadcast video

presentation and the bitmap for the channel information window are decoded.

Hendricks and Gordon, alone or in combination, fail to suggest that a signal is sent from

the terminal to the headend to activate the channel information window.

Hendricks and Gordon, alone or in combination, fail to suggest that the bitmap for the

channel information window is overlaid over the broadcast video presentation and obscures at

least a portion of the broadcast video presentation in the video stream.

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

Nevertheless, Bolanos is cited as disclosing the downloading of graphics for a user

interface on demand for the benefit of not having to repeatedly transmit the user interface

graphics. The Final Office Action equates this with receiving at the head end from the terminal, a

signal to active the channel information window.

More specifically, the graphical user interface may be obtained when the user logs onto an

Internet site or a commercial on-line service and request that the interface be downloaded to the

user's local computer system. Once the graphical user interface has been downloaded, the user

can download and playback audiovisual programs as they become available, without having to

repeatedly download the graphical user interface.

Thus, the graphical user interface of Bolanos is configured for the playback of audiovisual

programs. In contrast, the channel information window is a bitmap providing an interactive

program guide that is overlaid over a broadcast video display. Thus, Bolanos fails to suggest

receiving at the head end from the terminal, a signal to active the channel information window.

Therefore, Hendricks, Gordon and Bolanos, alone or in combination, fail to disclose,

teach or suggest the invention as defined in independent claims 9 and 10.

Ε. CLAIM 13 IS PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER

HENDRICKS, GORDON AND BOLANOS

As established above with regard to claim 1, Hendricks and Gordon fail to disclose, teach

or suggest the elements set forth in independent claim 1. Claim 13 depends from claim 1 and sets

forth the additional element of requesting, by the set top terminal from the headend, the bitmap

for the channel information window in response to the signal to activate the channel

information window.

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

However, as established above with regard to independent claims 9 and 10, Bolanos fails

to disclose, teach or suggest receiving at the head end from the terminal, a signal to active the

channel information window.

Therefore, Hendricks, Gordon and Bolanos, alone or in combination, fail to disclose,

teach or suggest the invention as defined in dependent claim 13.

F. CLAIM 14 IS PATENTABLE UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(A) OVER

HENDRICKS, GORDON AND MACINNIS

As established above with regard to claim 1, Hendricks and Gordon fail to disclose, teach

or suggest the elements set forth in independent claim 1. Claim 14 depends from claim 1 and sets

forth that the bitmap for the channel information window is broadcast continually and the set

top terminal causes the channel information window to overlay the broadcast video

presentation in response to the signal to activate the channel information window.

MacInnis is cited as teaching a method for downloading data, wherein the data is

broadcast continually for the benefit of alleviating the need to request the data from a source.

However, MacInnis only discloses that a portion of a table T containing a list of compatibility

requirements.

Therefore, MacInnis fails to suggest continuously downloading a bitmap for channel

information window that is overlaid on the broadcast video presentation in response to the

signal to activate the channel information window.

Thus, Hendricks, Gordon and MacInnis, alone or in combination, fail to disclose, teach or

suggest the invention as defined in independent claims 14.

VIII. Conclusion

In view of the above, Appellants submit that the rejections are improper, the claimed

invention is patentable, and that the rejections of claims 1, 5, 7-10, 13 and 14 should be

reversed. Appellants respectfully request reversal of the rejections as applied to the appealed

claims and allowance of the entire application.

If a telephone conference would be helpful in resolving any issues concerning this

communication, please contact Attorney for Applicant, David W. Lynch, at 865-380-5976. If

necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to

charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2725 for any additional

fee required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

Merchant & Gould P.O. Box 2903 Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903 (865) 380-5976

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

By:

Name: David W. Lynch

Reg. No.: 36,204

1

2-4.

(Cancelled)

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

APPENDIX OF APPEALED CLAIMS FOR APPLICATION NO. 09/585,263

1 1. (Previously Presented) A method, comprising: 2 generating, at a headend, at least one bitmap for a channel information 3 window; 4 encoding, at the headend, a broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the 5 channel information window, the broadcast video presentation being programming from one 6 of a plurality of channels; 7 transmitting, from the headend to a set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation 8 and the bitmap for the channel information window wherein elements on a display screen can 9 be selectively masked and displayed; 10 receiving, at the set top terminal, a signal to activate the channel information window; 11 decoding, at the set top terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for 12 the channel information window; and compositing, at the set top terminal, the bitmap for the 13 channel information window and the broadcast video presentation to produce a video stream 14 for a display so that the channel information window overlays and obscures at least a portion 15 of the broadcast video presentation on the display wherein transmitting the bitmap for the 16 channel information window is performed via an out-of-band channel.

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

5. 1 (Previously Presented) A method, comprising: 2 generating, at a headend, a plurality of bitmaps for each of a plurality of channel 3 information windows; 4 encoding, at the headend, a plurality of broadcast video displays and the channel 5 information windows, the broadcast video displays including a particular broadcast video 6 display, each broadcast video display being programming from one of a plurality of channels, 7 the channel information windows including information about the channels; 8 transmitting, from the headend to the set top terminal, the broadcast video displays 9 and the channel information windows wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively 10 masked and displayed; 11 decoding, at the set top terminal, the broadcast video displays and the channel 12 information windows; 13 compositing, at the set top terminal, the particular broadcast video display and an 14 associated one of the channel information windows to produce a video stream for a display so 15 that the channel information window overlays and obscures at least a portion of the particular 16 broadcast video display; and changing, at the set top terminal, the channel information 17 window in response to a navigation command, while the particular broadcast video display 18 remains the same. (Cancelled) 1 6.

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

7. 1 (Previously presented) The method of claim 5, further comprising: 2 changing the particular broadcast video display to a new broadcast video display, 3 upon termination of the navigation command in that mode; 4 wherein changing the particular broadcast video display is accomplished by 5 generating, encoding, and transmitting video packet streams at the headend. 1 8. (Previously presented) The method of claim 5, wherein the navigation 2 command in that mode navigates only through favorite channels. 1 9. (Previously Presented) A method, comprising: 2 generating, at a headend, a broadcast video presentation and at least one bitmap for a 3 channel information window, the broadcast video presentation being programming from one 4 of a plurality of channels; 5 encoding, at the headend, the broadcast video presentation and the bitmap for the 6 channel information window; 7 transmitting, from the headend to a terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the 8 channel information window wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively masked 9 and displayed; and sending, from the terminal to the headend, a signal to activate the channel 10 information window; 11 wherein the bitmap for the channel information window is overlaid over the broadcast 12 video presentation so that the channel information window obscures at least a portion of the 13 broadcast video presentation.

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

1 10. (Previously Presented) A method, comprising: 2 receiving, at a terminal from a headend, a broadcast video presentation, the broadcast 3 video presentation being programming from one of a plurality of channels; 4 sending, to the headend from the terminal, a signal to activate a channel information 5 window; 6 receiving, at the terminal from the headend, a bitmap for the channel information 7 window; 8 decoding, at the terminal, the broadcast video presentation and the channel 9 information window wherein elements on a display screen can be selectively masked and 10 displayed; and compositing, at the terminal, the bitmap for the channel information window 11 with the broadcast video presentation to produce a video stream for display so that the 12 channel information window overlays and obscures at least a portion of the broadcast video 13 presentation in the video stream. 1 11-12. (Cancelled) 1 13. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, further comprising: 2 requesting, by the set top terminal from the headend, the bitmap for the channel 3 information window in response to the signal to activate the channel information window.

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

1 14. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the bitmap for the

2 channel information window is broadcast continually and the set top terminal causes the

3 channel information window to overlay the broadcast video presentation in response to the

4 signal to activate the channel information window.

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE FOR APPLICATION NO. 09/585,263

Appellants are unaware of any evidence submitted in this application pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.130, 1.131, and 1.132.

Appeal Brief

In response to Notice of Appeal filed October 9, 2009

Atty Docket No.: 60136.0156USI1

APPENDIX OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS FOR APPLICATION NO. 09/585,263

As stated in Section II above, Appellants are unaware of any related appeals, interferences or judicial proceedings.